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# PRESSURE OF A STAMP OF ALMOST ANNULAR PLANFORM ON AN ELASTIC HALF-SPACE* 

A.B. KOVURA and V.I. SAMARSKII


#### Abstract

The generalization of the problem of the impression of an annular stamp without friction into an elastic half-space /l, $2 /$ is considered. The contact domain has an axis of symmetry and is a ring bounded by curves of almost circular shape. The half-space material is isotropic and homogeneous. Determination of the pressure under the stamp reduces to finding two functions of a complex variable, analytic in a circle, by means of boundary conditions of mixed type. The unknown constants on the right-hand sides of the boundary conditions are determined under the assumption that the dimensions of the holes in the stamp are small. The results from $/ 3,4 /$, referring to the case of annular or almost circular stamps, are essentially used here.


1. A stamp with a flat base, whose side surface is formed by cylinders $r=r_{1}(\varphi)$ and $r=r_{2}(\varphi)\left(r_{2}(\varphi)<r_{1}(\varphi), \varphi \in[-\pi, \pi]\right)$ is impressed without friction in an elastic half-space $z \geqslant 0$. Outside the stamp the surface of the half-space is force-free. For a given settling of the stamp $w_{0}$ determine the pressure $p(r, \varphi)$ in the contact domain $S$, a non-circular ring $r_{2}{ }^{2}(\varphi)<$ $r^{2}<r_{1}{ }^{2}(\varphi)$.

Following $/ 5 /$, the potential theory problem that occurs here for the half-space $z>0$ can be written in the form

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1}(r, 0, \varphi)=w_{0}, 0<r<r_{1}(\varphi) ; V_{2}(r, 0, \varphi)=0, r_{2}(\varphi)<r<\infty  \tag{1.1}\\
& V_{12}^{\prime}(r, 0, \varphi)+V_{22}^{\prime}(r, 0, \varphi)=0,0<r<r_{2}(\varphi), r_{1}(\varphi)<r<\infty
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $V_{j}(r, z, \varphi)(j=1,2)$ are harmonic functions that decrease at infinity, whose boundary values of the normal derivatives are related to the contact pressure values by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(r, \varphi)=h\left[V_{1 z}{ }^{\prime}(r, 0, \varphi)+V_{z z}^{\prime}(r, 0, \varphi)\right]_{,} r \in S ; h=E\left[2\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $E$ is the modulus of elasticity and $v$ is Poisson's ratio).
Without loss of generality, we assume the contact domain to have the axis of symmetry $\varphi=0$. Then the equation $r^{2}=r_{j}{ }^{2}(\varphi)$ and the harmonic functions $V_{j}(r, z, \varphi)$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{2}=r_{j}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv R_{j}^{2}+\alpha_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_{l}^{(j)} \cos l \varphi,  \tag{1.3}\\
& V_{j}(r, z, \varphi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{j k}(r, z) \cos k \varphi
\end{align*}
$$

[^1] into the consideration
$$
Q_{j}(x, y, \varphi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{j k}(x, y) \cos k \varphi
$$
( $\varphi$ is a parameter), that decrease at infinity and satisfy the system of relations
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1 k y^{\prime}}(x, 0)=V_{1 k z^{\prime}}(x, 0) x, Q_{2 k x}{ }^{\prime}(x, 0)=V_{2 k z}^{\prime}(x, 0) x  \tag{1.4}\\
& (0<x \equiv r<\infty)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The functions $V_{j k}, V_{j k i}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{j k x^{\prime}}, Q_{j k y}{ }^{\prime}$ are represented in the form of the contour integrals /3/

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{j k}(r, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} M_{j k}(s, z) \xi(s, k) r^{s-1} d s  \tag{1.5}\\
& \zeta(s, k)=2^{-s} \Gamma(1 / 2-s / 2+k / 2) / \Gamma(1 / 2+s / 2+k / 2) \\
& Q_{j k x}^{\prime}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} N_{i k}(s, y) \eta(s, k) 2^{z-s} \sqrt{\pi r^{s-1}} d s  \tag{1.6}\\
& \eta(s, k)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma(1 / 2-s / 2) / \Gamma(s / 2), k=0,2, \ldots \\
-\Gamma(1-s / 2) / \Gamma(1 / 2+s / 2), k-1,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $V_{j k z^{\prime}}(r, z)$ is obtained from (1.5) by replacing $\xi(s, k)$ by $\xi(s-1, k)$, the expression for $Q_{\text {fry }}(x, y)$ is obtained from (1.6) by replacing $\eta(s, k)$ by ( -1$)^{k} \eta(s, k+1)$. Substituting these contour integrals into (1.4) and (1.1), after algebra analogous to /3/, we obtain the boundary conditions for a potential-theory problem for a half-plane

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1 y^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)+Q_{2 x}{ }^{\prime}(x, 0, \varphi)=0,0<x<r_{2}(\varphi), r_{1}(\varphi)< \\
& x<\infty \\
& Q_{1 y^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)-Q_{2 x^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)=0,-r_{2}(\varphi)<x<0,-\infty< \\
& x<-r_{1}(\varphi) \\
& Q_{1 x^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)=2 \pi^{-1}\left[w_{a}+B(x, \varphi)\right], 0<|x|<r_{1}(\varphi) \\
& Q_{2 y}{ }^{\prime}(x, 0, \varphi)=2 \pi^{-1} D(x, \varphi), r_{2}(\varphi)<|x|<\infty \\
& B(x, \varphi)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_{k}(x) \cos k \varphi, \quad D(x, \varphi)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} D_{k}(x) \cos k \varphi \\
& B_{2 m}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \beta_{2 m, 2} x^{2 l}, \quad B_{2 m+1}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \beta_{2 m+1,2 l+1} x^{2 l+1} \\
& D_{2 m}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_{2 m, 2 l} x^{-3 l-1}, \quad D_{3 m+1}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} d_{2 m+1,2 l+1} x^{-2 l-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $m=1,2, \ldots ; \beta_{k, s}, d_{k, z}$ are unknown constants.
2. We will be guided by the following reasoning in defining the functions $B(x, \varphi)$ and
$D(x, \varphi)$ in the boundary conditions (1.7). If $a=\max \left\{r_{\mathrm{z}}(\varphi)\right\} \rightarrow 0$, then the contact domain $S$ under consideration goes over into an almost circular domain. The boundary value problem corresponding to this case for the function $Q_{1}(x, y, \varphi)$ that is harmonic in the half-plane $y>0$ and is defined by the formulas presented above, will have the form /3/

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1 x^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)=2 \pi^{-1}\left[w_{0}+T(x, \varphi)\right], 0<|x|<r_{1}(\varphi)  \tag{2.1}\\
& Q_{1 y^{\prime}}(x, 0, \varphi)=0, r_{1}(\varphi)<|x|<\infty \\
& T(x, \varphi)=\frac{\alpha_{1} w_{0}}{2 R_{1}} c_{2 k+m}^{(1)}\left(\frac{x}{R_{1}}\right)^{m} \times \\
& \quad\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{k=\frac{1}{m}} \frac{(2 k-2 l-1)!!}{(2 k-2 l-2)!!}\left(\frac{x}{R_{1}}\right)^{2 l}+\left(\frac{x}{R_{1}}\right)^{2 k-2}\right\} \cos (2 k+m) \varphi
\end{align*}
$$

$c_{2 k+m}^{(1)}(m=0,1) \quad$ are coefficients in the equation of the contact domain boundary (1.3).
Carrying out the passage mentioned in (1.7) and comparing with (2.1) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x, \varphi) \equiv 0, \quad B(x, \varphi) \equiv T(x, \varphi) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \neq 0$, the unknown constants in (1.7) will not generally be determined by (2.2). Nevertheless, confining ourselves to small values of the radius $a \ll \max \left\{r_{1}(\varphi)\right\}$, we can assume approximately that the constants mentioned have the same values as in the limit case considered of an almost circular contact domain. Therefore, the boundary conditions (1.7) become quite definite.
3. We will use the approach proposed in /4/ to find the harmonic functions $Q_{j x}{ }^{\prime}$ and $Q_{j y}{ }^{\prime}$ from the system of relations (1.7).

By conformal mapping

$$
\omega=r_{1}(\varphi) i(1-\zeta) /(1-\zeta)\left(\omega=x+i y, \zeta=\mu e^{i \theta}, \mu \geqslant 0\right)
$$

of the half-plane $y \geqslant 0$ onto the circle $|\zeta| \leqslant 1$, we obtain a Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problem from (1.7) for the two functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j}=1 / 2 \pi\left\{Q_{j x}^{\prime}[x(\zeta), y(\zeta), \varphi]-i Q_{j y^{\prime}}[x(\zeta), y(\zeta), \varphi]\right\}(j=1,2) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are holomorphic in a circle $|\zeta|<1$ and dependent on the parameter $\varphi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im} F_{1}(t, \varphi)-\operatorname{Re} F_{3}(t, \varphi)=0, \quad 0<\theta<\psi(\varphi), \pi / 2<\theta<\pi \\
& \operatorname{Im} F_{1}(t, \varphi)+\operatorname{Re} F_{2}(t, \varphi)=0,-\psi(\varphi)<\vartheta<0, \\
& -\pi<\theta<-\pi / 2 \\
& \operatorname{Im} F_{2}(t, \varphi)=0, \psi(\varphi)<|\psi|<\pi ; \quad \operatorname{Re} F_{1}(t, \varphi)=w_{0}+ \\
& \quad B_{1}(\vartheta, \varphi),|\vartheta|<\pi / 2 \\
& t=e^{i \vartheta}, \psi(\varphi)=2 \operatorname{arctg}\left\{r_{2}(\varphi) / r_{1}(\varphi)\right\} \\
& B_{1}(\vartheta, \varphi)=B[x(\vartheta), \varphi], x(\theta)=r_{1}(\varphi) \operatorname{tg}(\vartheta / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Q_{j x^{\prime}}$ and $Q_{j y^{\prime}}$ decrease at infinity, then $F_{j}(-1, \varphi)=0$.
For the functions $F_{j}(\xi, \varphi)$ we use the representations

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(\zeta, \varphi)=w_{0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k}(\varphi) \zeta^{k}\left(1+\zeta^{2}\right)^{1 / k}  \tag{3.3}\\
& F_{2}(\zeta, \varphi)=w_{0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}(\varphi) \zeta^{k}\left[\left(\zeta-e^{i \psi(\varphi)}\right)\left(\zeta-e^{-i \phi(\varphi)}\right)\right]^{-1 / t}
\end{align*}
$$

that take account of the nature of the singularities at the separation points of the boundary conditions (3.2). Here by virtue of the symmetry of the problem $\quad g_{k}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Keg}_{k}(\varphi), b_{k}(\varphi)=$ $\operatorname{Re} b_{k}(\varphi)$.

Substituting (3.3) into (3.2) we require that the relationships obtained by such means be satisfied at $n$ equidistant points $t_{s}=\exp \left(i \theta_{s}\right) \quad(s=0,1, \ldots, n)$ of the upner semicircle $\left(0<\left|\theta_{a}\right|<\pi\right)$ that do not coincide with the points of separation of the boundary conditions $\left(\theta_{s} \neq \psi(\varphi)\right.$ and $\left.\theta_{s} \neq \pi / 2\right)$. Then for every fixed value of $\varphi$ we arrive at a system of linear algebraic equations in $g_{k}$ and $b_{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{-1}\left(\theta_{a}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \sin \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta_{s}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k} \cos \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta_{s}=0, \\
& \frac{\pi}{2}<\theta_{s}<\pi \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \cos \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta_{s}-M\left(\theta_{s}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k} \sin \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta_{s}=0, \\
& 0<\theta_{s}<\psi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k} \cos \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta_{s}=\left[1+T_{1}\left(\vartheta_{s}, \varphi\right)\right]\left(2 \cos \vartheta_{s}\right)^{-1 / 2}, 0<\vartheta_{s}<\frac{\pi}{2} . \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \cos \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \vartheta_{s}=0, \quad \psi<\vartheta_{s}<\pi ; \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} b_{k}=0, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} g_{k}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(M(\theta)=|1-\cos \psi / \cos \theta|^{1 / 2}, T_{1}(\theta, \varphi)=T[x(\theta), \varphi] / w_{0}\right)$
Having calculated $g_{k}$ and $b_{k}$, by using (1.2), (1.4), (3.1) and (3.3), the pressure under the stamp can be determined for the selected value of the angular coordinate

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(r, \varphi)=\frac{\sqrt{2 h} w_{0}}{\pi r_{1}(\varphi)}\left(1+\sigma^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k} \sin [(2 h-1) \operatorname{arctg} \sigma]-\right. \\
\left.\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / t}\left(\sigma^{2}-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \sin [(2 k-1) \operatorname{arctg} \sigma]\right\} \\
r_{2}(\varphi) / r_{1}(\varphi)=\varepsilon(\varphi)<\sigma(\varphi)=r / r_{1}(\varphi)<1
\end{gathered}
$$

4. The algorithm proposed to determinc the contact pressures is realized on the ES-1022 electronic computer for different contact domain shapes. We consider as examples below the cases of an elliptic stamp base with a circular hole and a squarelike base with an elliptic hole. The numerical results are obtained for $n=44$ and $0_{s}=\pi(s+1) /(n+2)\left(s=0,1, \ldots, n\right.$; $\mid \psi_{j}$ $\left.(\varphi)-\vartheta_{s} \mid>\pi /(2 n+4), \psi_{2}(\varphi)=\pi / 2\right)$.

The curves bounding the elliptic contact domain with the circular hole are determined by the equations ( $a_{2}, a_{1}$ are the ellipse semi-axes, $a_{2}<a_{1}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{p}^{2}=\rho_{1}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv l_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \gamma_{k} \cos k \varphi, \quad \rho^{2}=\rho_{2}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv l_{2}^{2} \quad\left(l_{2}=\text { const }\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \rho=\gamma a_{1}, \alpha_{1}=\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) /\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) \leqslant 1, \lambda=a_{2} a_{1} \\
& \gamma_{3}=\gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{5}{8} \alpha_{2}^{4}\right), \quad \gamma=\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\frac{15}{32} \alpha_{1}^{5}\right) \\
& \gamma_{6}=\gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{5}{16} \alpha_{1}^{4}+\frac{21}{64} \alpha_{1}^{6}\right), \quad \gamma_{8}=\gamma\left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1}^{3}+\frac{3}{16} \alpha_{1}^{5}\right) \\
& \gamma_{10}=\gamma \alpha_{1}^{4} / 16, \gamma_{j}=0(j=1,3,5,7,9,11) \\
& l_{1}=\left[\gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{1}^{4}+\frac{5}{16} \alpha_{1}^{b}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{2}{1+\lambda^{-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

The lines $1-5$ in Fig. 1 are equal-pressure lines

$$
p(r, \varphi)=\pi^{2} a_{1} p(r, \varphi) /\left(4 h w_{0}\right)
$$

for a contact domain with linear boundary radius $l_{2}=0.2$ and a ratio of the boundary ellipse semi-axes $\lambda=0.85\left(\alpha_{1}=0.1611\right)$ and correspond to the values $P(r, \varphi)=2.134,1.962,2,152,2.549,5.019$.

The contact pressure distribution on $\psi=0$ is shown in Fiq. 2 for different values of the inner boundary radius $l_{2}$. The quantities $l_{2}=0.1,0.2,0.3$ and $\lambda=0.85$ correspond to curves 1-3.

For a base of square type with elliptical hole the outer boundary of the contact domain is determined by the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{2}=\rho_{1}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv l_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_{k} \cos k \varphi \\
& \rho=r / a_{1}, a_{1}=1.1845, \alpha_{1}=1 / 3, l_{1}=1.0281 a^{-1} \\
& \gamma_{4}^{(1)}=-(57 / 58) a_{1} a^{-2}, \gamma_{6}^{(1)}=(3 / 28) a_{1}^{-2}, \gamma_{j}^{(1)}=0\left(j=1,2,3,5, b_{c}, 7\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation of the inner boundary has the form

$$
\rho^{2}=\rho_{2}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv l_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \gamma_{k}^{(2)} \cos k \varphi
$$

where $\alpha_{2}$ is determined by the same expression as the parameter $\alpha_{1}$ in (4.1). The quantities $\gamma_{k}{ }^{(2)}$ are connected with the coefficients $\gamma_{k}$ in (4.1) by the relationships $\gamma_{k}^{(2)}=\beta^{2} \gamma_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots$. 12), in which the factor $\beta>0$ yields the characteristic size of the elliptical hole, the semiaxis $\rho_{2}(0)=\beta$ and also the radius $l_{2}$ of the circle close to the ellipse

$$
l_{2}=\beta\left[\gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{1}^{4}+\frac{5}{16} \alpha_{1}^{8}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

The equal pressure lines $1-5$ in Fig. 3, constructed for $\beta=0.2$, correspond to the values $p(\rho, \varphi)=2.146,2.189$; 2.467, 3.159, 4.182.

Fig. 4 shows contact pressure diagrams on the axis $\varphi=0$ for different elliptical hole sizes. The values $\beta=0.1,0.15,0.2$ correspond to curves 1-3.


For the case considered above of a stamp of elliptical planform with a small-radius circular hole the values of $P(\rho, \varphi)$ obtained were compared with the contact pressure values $p_{1}(\rho, \varphi)$ found by another method $/ 6 /$. Values (in percent) of the relative error $\delta=11-P_{1}(\rho$, $\varphi / P(\rho, \varphi)]$ are shown in the table. The data corresponding to $\lambda=0.85$ and $l_{2}=0.2$ reflect the typical nature of the behaviour of the quantity $\delta$ within the contact domain: the error $\delta$ increases on approaching the inner and outer boundaries, as well as with distance from the axes of symmetry $\varphi=0$ and $\varphi=\pi / 2$ of the contact domain. The data obtained for $\varphi=\pi / 4$ show how the eccentricity of the outer boundary (the ratio $\lambda$ ) and the radius $l_{2}$ of the inner boundary influence the magnitude of the error 8 : an increase in the eccentricity a decrease in $\lambda$ ) exactly as the growth of $l_{2}$, increases the discrepancy between corresponding values of $P(\rho, \varphi)$ and $P_{1}(\rho, \varphi)$.

Table 1

| $\rho$ | $\lambda=0.85 ; ~ t 2=0.2$ |  |  |  |  | $l_{4}=0,2 ; ~ \varphi=\pi / 4$ |  | $\lambda=0.85 ; \varphi=\pi / 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Phi=0$ | \%/8 | $\pi / 4$ | 3n/8 | $\pi / 2$ | $\lambda=0,9$ | 0.8 | $l_{3}=0.1$ | 0.3 |
| 0.22 | 9.54 | 7.59 | 5.44 | 9.52 | 9.11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.25 | 3.25 | 1.56 | 1.69 | 2.87 | 2.08 | 0.85 | 3.10 | 0.72 |  |
| 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.95 | 0,25 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 2.35 |
| 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.94 | 0.53 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 1.28 | 0.84 | 1.40 |
| 0.75 | 0.21 | 0.86 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 0.88 | 0.98 | 2.14 | 1.25 | 1.62 |
| 0.85 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 2.14 | 5,64 |  | 1.74 | 4.58 | 2.07 | 2.35 |
| 0.95 | 1.34 | 5.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

On the whole, calculations show that for $0.8<\lambda<1$ and $0<l_{1}<0.3$ the error 6 does not exceed $2.5 \%$ in the major part of the contact domain, which indicates good agreement between the two different approximate solutions.

The authors are grateful to V.I. Mossakovskii for his interest.
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# CERTAIN CONTACT PROBLEMS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTICITY FOR AN ANNULAR SECTOR AND A SPHERICAL LAYER SECTOR* 

## M.I. CHEBAKOV


#### Abstract

Two static contact problems of the theory of elasticity on the impression of a stamp in the circular boundary of an annular sector (Fig.1), and in the spherical surface of a spherical layer sector (Fig.2) are examined. By using homogeneous solutions the problems are reduced to an investigation of the well-studied integral equations that occur in the investigation of analogous problems, respectively, for a ring and a spherical layer, and infinite systems of linear high-quality algebraic equations of the type of the normal poincare-Koch systems.


A proof is also presented of the generalized orthogonality relationships (GOR) used for homogeneous solutions of the theory of elasticity on the steady vibrations of a spherical layer in the case of axial symmetry and a ring. In a special case, the Gor for a spherical layer agrees with those already known/1/, where the static problem is considered. Analogous GOR for a ring are proved by another method in /2, 3/, where the GOR are derived in $/ 3 /$ as a corollary of the Betti reciprocity theorem for a broad class of media and domains.

The GOR are derived below as a corollary from values of a certain integral of the combination of two different solutions of the Lamé equation in the general case with arbitrary boundary conditions. The value of the integral is expressed in terms of boundary functions /4/. Values of the integral of both the homogeneous (generalized orthogonality condition), and the inhomogeneous solutions are used in deriving the infinite systems.


Fig. 1


Fig. 2
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[^1]:    In the first relationship of (1.3) $\alpha_{j} \& 1$ so that the curves bounding the contact domain are almost circles.

    In addition to $V_{j}(r, z, \varphi)$ we introduce two harmonic functions in the half-plane $y>0$

